

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,869	04/02/2001	Kevin J. McGrath	5500-64000	1001
7590 08/13/2004			EXAMINER	
Lawrence J. Merkel			GERSTL, SHANE F	
Conley, Rose, & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78767			2183	
•			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. 09/824.869 MCGRATH ET AL. **Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit** Shane F Gerstl 2183 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires ___ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

10. Other: ____

8. \square The drawing correction filed on ___ is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and the prior art of record does teach the claimed subject matter. For example, regarding the argument given on pages 2-3 of the remarks for claim 1, it is argued that the antecedent basis of "said operand size" makes it clear that the same instruction is used for both the zero extending and preserving functionality whereas the cited art teaches the use of two different instructions for this functionality. It is true that the cited art uses two instructions for both functions, however, the claim language does not limit these functions to being that of the same instruction. The claim language introduces the operand size with "an operand size corresponding to said instruction." Now this does not mean that the operand size does not also correspond to other instructions since "comprising", an open transitional phrase is used. In fact this operand size can be interpretted as an operand size for every instruction. Therefore, the function of preserving bits that is "...responsive to said operand size specifying a third number of bits..." is interpretted as being said function of preserving bits in response of the operand size of any instruction, and specifically as in the previous action, the ORI instruction.

EDDIE CHAN
ANDER PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100